

Applicant: Edward M. Lester et al.  
Serial No.: 10/051,933  
Page 11

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-11 and 13-24 are now pending in this application. Claims 3, 6 and 12 have been cancelled without prejudice. New claims 14-24 have been added. Applicants respectfully submit no new matter has been added. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Applicants have added new claims 14-24 in this amendment. New claims 14-24 recite further system and method claims as contemplated by the present invention. Applicants respectfully request examination thereof and prompt allowance.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,268,849 to Boyer et al. (Boyer).

Claims 3 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Boyer as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,933,827 to Cole et al. (Cole).

Claims 4, 10 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Boyer and Cole as applied to claims 1-3 and 7-9 above, and further in view of Yahoo Press Release – “Yahoo! Launches Yahoo! En Español (Yahoo!).

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants respectfully thank the Examiner for indicating the allowable subject of dependent claims 6 and 12, if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, Applicants have amended: independent claim 1 to include the features of cancelled claims 3 and 6; and independent claim 7 to include the features of cancelled claim 12. Amended claims 1 and 7, therefore, now stand allowable

Applicant: Edward M. Lester et al.  
Serial No.: 10/051,933  
Page 12

in view of the Examiner's remarks. Dependent claims 2, 4, 5 and 8-11 now also stand allowable since they now depend from the allowable subject matter of amended claims 1 and 7. Amended claim 13 now also includes similar features that were recited in now cancelled claims 6 and 12. Amended claim 13, therefore, also now recites allowable subject matter.

**102 Rejection based on Boyer**

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8 and 11 stand rejected as anticipated by Boyer. As set forth above, Applicants have amended: independent claim 1 to include the features of cancelled claims 3 and 6; and independent 7 to include the features of cancelled claim 12. Amended claims 1 and 7, therefore, now stand allowable in view of the Examiner's remarks. Dependent claims 2, 5, 8 and 11 now also stand allowable since they now depend from the allowable subject matter of amended claims 1 and 7. Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of this rejection and prompt allowance of Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8 and 11.

**103 Rejection based on Boyer in further view of Cole**

Claims 3 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Boyer as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Cole. Again, Applicants have amended: independent claim 1 to include the features of cancelled claims 3 and 6; and independent 7 to include the features of cancelled claim 12. Amended claims 1 and 7, therefore, now stand allowable in view of the Examiner's remarks.